



Public Health Association of British Columbia  
#210 – 1027 Pandora Avenue  
Victoria, BC V8V 3P6  
Phone: (250) 595-8422 / Fax: (250) 595-8622  
[www.phabc.org](http://www.phabc.org)

---

**Statement on Poverty and Inequity**  
**Public Health Association of British Columbia**

May, 2014

While the poverty rate in Canada remains at 10-15%<sup>1</sup>, a recent OECD study<sup>2</sup> shows that the very rich are taking an ever greater share of income. Why is this?

For decades Canadian governments have followed an ‘austerity’ agenda: lowering taxes and cutting social programs to balance budgets with a focus on economic growth and ‘trickle down’. This has meant fewer income supports for the poor and inadequate investments in social housing, early child development, education, healthcare and infrastructure development. (An exception was the federal stimulus program in response to the 2008 recession).

Businesses have kept wages low to maximize profits, contributing to poverty.

Higher rate of returns on capital (over incomes) along with tax breaks for capital gains and wealthy estates and inadequately controlled offshore banking<sup>3</sup> have aided the ongoing accumulation and concentration of wealth. Globalisation has contributed to massive increases in corporate executive compensation often in the form of untaxed stock options.

86 families now hold more wealth than the poorest 11.4 million Canadians<sup>4</sup>.

The effects of poverty and inequities are well known: poorer health, more chronic disease, more avoidable deaths, social injustice, increasing demand and costs for healthcare services, reduced productivity of the workforce, a slower economy, the erosion of democracy and political and social instability<sup>5,6</sup>.

Child poverty means poorer health, poorer school performance, more dropouts, unemployment and street involvement. Hence poverty continues through generations.

A NASA-funded study<sup>7,8</sup> shows that growing inequities and the depletion of natural resources can lead to economic decline and societal failure. Acemoglu and Robinson<sup>9</sup> show that over the centuries, nations characterized by rich elites and resource depletion have often failed.

The current inequitable situation in Canada is the result of the free market economic system with ‘hands off’ government policies. As Piketty<sup>10</sup> points out this is not a reason to abandon our economic system which has led to abundant innovation and wealth creation. The solution is rather to moderate the excesses of the system through redistribution so that prosperity is more equitably shared by the entire population.

Stuckler and Robinson<sup>11</sup> show that countries in the last decade that have invested in social programs like health and education in response to recession have made better recoveries than those that followed an ‘austerity’ agenda. The IMF (which has pushed ‘austerity’ for decades) has recently shown<sup>12</sup> that

growing inequity is bad for economies and that judicious redistribution is beneficial for economic growth.

Economists and the business community agree that a judicious redistributive approach is needed<sup>3,4,6,10,11,12, 13</sup>. Revenues can be raised by taxes on the wealthy (income, estates, capital gains), regulating offshore tax havens, taxing externalities<sup>14</sup> (pollution, tobacco, alcohol, sugar) and revenues from natural resources. These revenues should be used for social investments such as income supports (welfare, EI and child and disability payments), good jobs, social housing, early child development, education, improved healthcare services, environmental protection and infrastructure. The Business Council of British Columbia recommends the funding of new and expanded (social) programs ‘primarily via economic growth... (and) a modest degree of incremental re-distribution.’<sup>13</sup>

The business community has a key role in reducing poverty and inequities. Businesses that produce and market useful products and employ workers in healthy environments are the engine of our economy. And businesses that pay a living wage, have co-ownership and profit sharing policies, have a triple bottom line (people, planet and profits) and pay their fair share of corporate taxes all contribute to reducing poverty and inequities.

There is widespread indifference to these issues among the wealthy who are simply too preoccupied with accumulating ever more wealth to care<sup>15,16</sup>. Moreover, the abuse of public funds (Duffy, Ford, Redford) erodes public confidence in government intervention. Clearly better accountability is needed.

But action by governments and businesses is needed **now** to reduce poverty and inequities. We are paying dearly for inaction. The costs to taxpayers for poverty-related policing, corrections, housing and healthcare far exceed what it would cost to eradicate poverty<sup>17</sup>. And the costs of declining democracy and social and political instability will be borne by subsequent generations.

It is time for concerned citizens to push for action by governments and the business community. The following advocacy agenda is provided to support PHABC membership and partners in this effort:

- The public health community has been very successful in controlling communicable diseases (CDs) particularly through immunization programs. Smallpox has been eradicated and most other life- threatening CDs have been controlled. We now need to advocate for social investments that can similarly vaccinate our society<sup>18</sup> against the disease-causing effects of poverty and inequity.
- Political representatives and the business community should embrace a new policy agenda that stimulates sustainable economic growth through judicious redistribution (and abandon the long-standing ‘austerity’ agenda)
- Political representatives should be urged to pursue a program of social investments to drive sustainable economic growth, including:
  - A poverty reduction agenda with measurable goal and targets
  - Better income supports for poor families, the disabled, and other disadvantaged groups
  - Social housing
  - Infrastructure (transportation, recreation facilities, power)
  - Job creation
  - Education and skills training
  - Early childhood development
  - Improved healthcare services

- Environmental sustainability, protection and rehabilitation
- Political representatives should further be urged to raise revenues for this social investment agenda in such a way that inequities will be reduced and sustainable economic growth will be stimulated:
  - Restoring high marginal income tax rates on the very wealthy
  - Taxing large inheritances and capital gains
  - Closing tax loopholes and stopping offshore tax evasion
  - Taxing externalities (pollution, tobacco, alcohol, sugar/processed foods)
  - Increasing royalties from natural resources
- Business leaders should be urged to pursue a triple bottom line: people, planet and profits(PPP)
  - Pay a Living Wage; control extreme executive compensation (including share buybacks<sup>19</sup>)
  - Pay fair share of taxes (stop offshore evasion) particularly on externalities
  - Healthy workplace policies
  - Green environmental policies
  - B-corp legislation (permits incorporation for PPP)

It is time for all sectors of society to come together to eliminate poverty and reduce inequities.

## References

1. Poverty Trends Highlights Canada 2013. Citizens for Public Justice. 2013  
<http://www.cpj.ca/sites/default/files/docs/Poverty-Trends-Highlights-2013.pdf>
2. Top Incomes and taxation in OECD Countries: Was the crisis a game changer? Directorate for Employment, Labour and Social Affairs. OECD. May, 2014  
<http://www.oecd.org/els/soc/OECD2014-FocusOnTopIncomes.pdf>
3. Capital in the 21st Century. Thomas Piketty. 2014. Harvard
4. Outrageous Fortune. Documenting Canada's Wealth Gap. David MacDonald. Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives. April 2014
5. Health Inequities in British Columbia. Provincial Health Services Authority. Nov 2008.  
[http://www.phabc.org/files/HOC\\_Inequities\\_Report.pdf](http://www.phabc.org/files/HOC_Inequities_Report.pdf)
6. The Price of Inequality. Joseph Stiglitz. 2012. Norton & Co.
7. NASA-funded study: industrial civilization headed for 'irreversible collapse'? Nafeez Ahmed. The Guardian, Mar 14, 2014.  
<http://www.theguardian.com/environment/earth-insight/2014/mar/21/climate-change-scienceofclimatechange>
8. Human and Nature Dynamics (HANDY): Modeling Inequality and Use of Resources in the Collapse or Sustainability of Societies. S Motesharrei, J Rivas, E Kalnay. Accepted for publication in Ecological Economics.  
<http://www.sesync.org/sites/default/files/resources/motesharrei-rivas-kalnay.pdf>
9. Why Nations Fail. The Origins of Power, Prosperity and Poverty. Daron Acemoglu and James Robinson. 2012. (Acemoglu of MIT is a Fellow at the Canadian institute for Advanced Research)

10. Fixing capitalism's growth pains. Doug Saunders. Globe and Mail interview with Thomas Piketty, May 10, 2014.
11. The Body Economic. Why Austerity Kills. David Stuckler and Sanjay Basu. Basic Books 2013
12. Redistribution, Inequality and Growth. J Ostry, A Berg, and C Tsangarides. IMF Staff Discussion Note. February, 2014
13. The BC Agenda for Shared Prosperity. Business Council of British Columbia, BC Chamber of Commerce. September, 2013
14. For a fairer and richer society make the polluters pay. Christopher Ragan. Globe and Mail. April 21, 2014
15. Who cares about inequality? Wonks. Margaret Wentz. Globe and Mail. May 3, 2014.
16. Rich people just care less. Daniel Goleman. New York Times. Oct 5, 2013
17. The Dollars and Sense of Solving Poverty. National Council of Welfare. Vol 130, 2011.  
[http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\\_2011/cnb-ncw/HS54-2-2011-eng.pdf](http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2011/cnb-ncw/HS54-2-2011-eng.pdf)
18. Social policy as social vaccine. Jalil Safaei. Social medicine, Vol 8(1), January, 2014 pp12-22
19. How high CEO pay hurts the 99 percent. William Lazonick. Alternet, April 2, 2012  
[http://www.alternet.org/print/story/154746/how\\_high\\_ceo\\_pay\\_hurts\\_the\\_99\\_percent](http://www.alternet.org/print/story/154746/how_high_ceo_pay_hurts_the_99_percent)